Compressed air energy storage calculation

Compressed air energy storage calculation

Compared to batteries, compressed air is favorable because of a high energy density, low toxicity, fast filling at low cost and long service life. These issues make it technically challenging to design air engines for all kind of compressed air driven vehicles ().

Calculations for a 1kWhr System. From Compressed Air Energy Storage results, it takes 170 cubic meters of air to deliver 1kWhr of usable stored energy. This is an inefficient adiabatic system - could be much better if we use isothermal process.

Compressed-air-energy storage (CAES) is a way to store energy for later use using compressed air. At a utility scale, energy generated during periods of low demand can be released during peak load periods.

Compressed air energy storage (CAES) is one of the many energy storage options that can store electric energy in the form of potential energy (compressed air) and can be deployed near central power plants or distributioncenters. In response to demand, the stored energy can be discharged by expanding the stored air with a turboexpander generator.

An experimental unit of a small-scale compressed air energy storage was developed. The prototype was tested for strength, tightness, and performance using compressed air. As a result of the Aspen HYSYS and Ansys simulations, the following results were obtained: changes in pressure, temperature and velocity profile inside the air turbine.

,CAES,?,,??,?,??,...

Currently, the energy storage is dominated by banks of batteries, but other forms of energy storage are beginning to appear alongside them. CAES is one of them.

Compressed air energy storage (CAES) uses surplus energy to compress air which is then stored in an underground reservoir. The compression of the air generates heat. The air can be released to a combustor in a gas turbine to generate electricity. The aim of course, along with other forms of energy storage, and other approaches such as smart grids and demand reduction strategies, is to provide a means in which intermittent renewable energy such as solar and wind can take over from fossil fuels.

Just recently, two North American energy storage companies, General Compression and NRStor, have been working to develop a proposal for energy storage in Ontario, with support from an asset management group called Northwater Capital Management. The aim of the CAES proposal is to help provide value for bill payers by using energy storage to integrate wind power into the grid and also by enabling load leveling, in which

energy storage at night, when demand falls, with a view to bringing it back on to the grid in the morning, when demand rises again. This would reduce the need for fossil fuel turbines.

A General Compression Advanced Energy Storage (GCAES) system has been developed by General Compression, with a prototype in Gaines, Texas. This is powered by a 2 MW wind turbine and uses an underground salt cavern as an air reservoir. It can deliver 1.6 MW of energy for a maximum of 150 hours before it has to be recharged.

Unfortunately, the heat generated by CAES systems makes air expand, thus making compression a problem. Furthermore, when the compressed air is released, it cools and this reduces the amount of energy available. There can also be a problem with the build-up of ice. The burning of natural gas can address this problem by warming the de-compressing air, but this is costly and produces carbon dioxide. The GCAES system addresses these problems by capturing the heat and storing it in a pond, it subsequently being used to warm the air released during the generation cycle.

Calculations conducted so far have shown the installed cost of long-duration storage to be one-tenth of the cost of lithium-ion battery storage.